1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 KATHLEEN SHINN and RICHARD 2:07-CV-01648-JCM-CWH SHINN, 7 Plaintiffs, 8 **ORDER** v. 9 BAXA CORPORATION, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 Presently before the court is defendant Baxa Corporation's motion in limine to prohibit the 12 introduction of subsequent remedial measures. (Doc. #120). Plaintiffs opposed the motion (doc. 13 #128), and Baxa Corporation filed a reply on April 4, 2011 (doc. #134). 14 Currently, there is no trial date for this matter. When Baxa Corporation filed its motion in 15 limine, the parties were still in discovery and "none of the parties' expert witnesses ha[d] yet been 16 deposed." (Doc. #128). 17 The court is not inclined to grant defendant's motion at this time. The relevant issues have 18 not been presented to the court in a sufficiently well-established manner. Without an approaching 19 trial date or the close of discovery, the court is not prepared to rule on the merits of defendant's 20 motion. Defendant may renew this motion at a more appropriate time. 21 Accordingly, 22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant Baxa 23 Corporation's motion in limine to prohibit the introduction of subsequent remedial measures (doc. 24 # 120) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED. 25 DATED: August 29, 2011. 26 27 Elius C. Mahan 28